## Overdiagnosing hypertension

## A fifth of patients with borderline hypertension may be treated unnecessarily

We are probably misdiagnosing and overtreating hypertension. In the United States about 58 million people are hypertensive and about 35 million have blood pressures that would qualify them for drug treatment. Once started the treatment will usually be continued for life, at the estimated yearly cost of \$18 billion to \$21 billion, including professional fees and laboratory tests.<sup>2</sup> If even one quarter of these treated patients have been misdiagnosed the financial savings have been estimated at about \$5 billion each year. But additionally there are the costs of the adverse effects of misdirected treatment and the personal consequences of being mislabelled "hypertensive" — worry and effects on employment prospects, pension, and insurance rights.

We do have, however, evidence that high blood pressure is a major risk for cardiovascular disease3 and that lowering blood is beneficial.<sup>46</sup> We are not denying such evidence in persisting with the view that we may well be overtreating hypertension: if we tip more and more people with hypertension into the epidemiological stew the analysis of risk may be diluted but just as conclusive. Nevertheless, those treated unnecessarily have nothing to gain, and lowering blood pressure too much may in itself be harmful.7

The evidence that we are overdiagnosing hypertension comes from techniques for measuring ambulatory blood pressure.8 As long ago as 1904 Theodore Janeway, writing before Korotkoff had reported the now accepted auscultatory method of measuring blood pressure, showed that stress could raise blood pressure. Smirk endeavoured to remove the effects of such stresses by measuring basal blood pressure, 10 and several researchers over the years have cautioned against the excessive diagnosis and treatment of hypertension.1112 Though self measurement of blood pressure has been shown to give values substantially lower than those measured in the hospital clinic or family practitioner's surgery, 13 14 the practice has never become popular in the United Kingdom and Ireland. The technique did emphasise, however, the limitations of the casual measurement of blood pressure.

The development of non-invasive techniques for measuring ambulatory blood pressure has made it possible to study blood pressure as it changes, which offers advantages over the conventional practice of measuring it sporadically, often under artificial and stressful circumstances, by a technique replete with potential inaccuracies.15 It soon became evident that ambulatory measurement gave lower readings than blood pressure measured by family practitioners,5 hospital staff, and patients themselves. 16 17 Moreover, the technique may predict cardiovascular morbidity and mortality more accurately than the casual measurement of blood pressure. 18 19

The patients at risk of being misdiagnosed are those with borderline hypertension, in whom ambulatory blood pressures may be considerably lower than those recorded in surgeries or clinics. This phenomenon, in which high pressures recorded by conventional techniques are subse quently shown by ambulatory measurement to be normal has been named "white coat hypertension."20 In a recent study Pickering et al found white coat hypertension in 22% of 292 patients with borderline hypertension.21 In other words almost one quarter of their patients in whom borderline hypertension had been diagnosed had normal ambulatory blood pressures. In a retrospective study of 638 patients witl hypertension in our unit 89% satisfied the World Health Organisation's criteria for having hypertension, but only 46% would have been judged hypertensive by ambulatory measurement.17

These findings have considerable implications for managing hypertension, and two multicentre European studies are about to start to assess further the use of ambulatory blood pressure measurement in managing hypertension and determining its prognosis. Though it will be some time before these studies yield results, doctors cannot ignore the implications of ambulatory measurement of hypertension. Faced with a patient with borderline hypertension, the doctor should be slow to diagnose hypertension until some attempt has been made to categorise the behaviour of blood pressure over time: ambulatory blood pressure measurement is the best way to do

**EOIN O'BRIEN** Consultant Cardiologis KEVIN O'MALLEY Professor of Clinical Pharmacology

The Blood Pressure Unit. Beaumont Hospital. Dublin 9

- 1 Subcommittee on Definition and Prevalence of the 1984 Joint National Committee, Hypertension prevalence and the status of awareness of treatment, and control in the United States: final report Hypertension 1985;7:457-68.
- Medical Technology and Practice Patterns Institute. Hypertension: questions of prevalence diagnosis, and management. Journal of Clinical Hypertension 1987;3:757-67.
   Kannel WB. The role of blood pressure in cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. Prog Cardiovas.
- Dis 1974:17:5-23.
- 4 Hypertension Detection and Follow-Up Program Cooperative Group. Five-year findings of the hypertension detection and follow-up program. I. Reduction in mortality of persons with high blood pressure, including mild hypertension. JAMA 1979;242:2562-71.
- ent Committee of the Australian Therapeutic Trial in Mild Hypertension. Untreated mild hypertension. Lancet 1982;i:185-91.

- Medical Research Council Working Party. MRC trial of treatment of mild hypertension: principal results. Br Med J 1985;291:97-104.
   Cruikshank JM, Thorp JM, Zacharias FJ. Benefits and potential harm of lowering high blood pressure. Lancet 1987;i:581-4.
   Pickering TG, Harshfield GA, Kleinert HD, Blank S, Laragh JH. Blood pressure during normal daily activities, sleep, and exercise. Comparison of values in normal and hypertensive subjects.
- 9 Janeway TC. The clinical study of blood pressure. A guide to the use of the sphygmomanometer. New York: D Appleton, 1904:120.
- 10 Smirk FH. Casual and basal blood pressures. IV. Their relationship to the supplemental pressure with a note on statistical implications. Br Heart J 1944;6:176-82.
- 11 O'Brien E, Fitzgerald D, O'Malley K. Blood pressure measurement: current practice and future trends. Br Med 7 1985;290:729-34.
- 12 Waeber B, Scherrer U, Petrillo A, et al. Are some hypertensive patients overtreated? A prospective study of ambulatory blood pressure recording. Lancet 1987;ii:732-4.

  13 Fitzgerald D, O'Callaghan W, O'Brien E, Johnson H, Mulcahy R, Hickey N. Home recording of
- blood pressure in the management of hypertension. Ir Med J 1985;78:216-8.

- Julius S, Ellis CN, Pascual AV, et al. Home blood pressure determination. Value in borderline ("labile") hypertension. JAMA 1974;229:663-6.
   O'Brien E, O'Malley K. ABC of blood pressure measurement: technique. Br Med J 1979;
- ii:982-4
- 11:982-4.
  16 Flapan AD, Stewart SE, McDougal F, Padfield PL. Is self home-monitoring of blood pressure as good as 24-hour ambulatory monitoring? J Hypertens [Suppl] 1987;5:491-3.
  17 Kenny RA, Brennan M, O'Malley K, O'Brien E. Blood pressure measurements in borderline hypertension. J Hypertens [Suppl] 1987;5:483-5.
  18 Perloff D, Sokolow M, Cowan R. The prognostic value of ambulatory blood pressure. JAMA 1992;40:230-23.

- 1983;249:2792-8.

  19 Devereux RB, Pickering TG, Harshfield GA, et al. Left ventricular hypertrophy in patients with hypertension: importance of blood pressure response to regularly recurring stress. Circulation 1983:68:470-6.
- 20 Pickering TG, Devereux RB. Ambulatory monitoring of blood pressure as a predictor of cardiovascular risk. Am Heart J 1987;114:925-8.
   21 Pickering TG, James GD, Boddie C, Harshfield GA, Blank S, Laragh JH. How common is white
- coat hypertension? JAMA 1988;259:225-8.