Evidence is growing to support the use of ABPM in all patients with high BP, not just in selected individuals
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Emerging role for nocturnal BP

MUCH HAPPENS to the cardiovascular system during the night and during sleep, especially in relation to blood pressure. These nocturnal occurrences have been largely ignored in clinical practice because the methodology for assessing nocturnal profiles of blood pressure – 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure measurement (ABPM) – has been accepted only slowly in clinical practice, or used only sparingly for the recording of blood pressure during the night.

With the recent publication of the Dublin Outcome Study there is now renewed interest in the importance of nocturnal hypertension in clinical practice.

Which measurement of blood pressure is best?

The most commonly used technique of blood pressure measurement in clinical practice is the auscultatory method with a mercury sphygmomanometer and stethoscope.

A meta-analysis of clinic blood pressure measurement (CBPM) in one million adults participating in 61 prospective studies has shown that a systolic CBPM 10mmHg or diastolic CBPM 5mmHg above normal is associated with about 40% higher risk of stroke death and about 30% higher risk of death from ischaemic heart disease and other vascular causes.

There are, however, numerous criticisms of CBPM, which include interobserver and intraobserver variability and terminal digit preferences, all of which may bias the accuracy of measurement. Moreover, CBPM cannot detect white-coat hypertension, a largely innocent condition, which obscures the true level of blood pressure and the prevalence of which can be as high as 30%.

Which measure is best for predicting outcome?

There is no doubt but that ABPM is superior to CBPM in predicting target organ involvement, such as left ventricular hypertrophy, microalbuminuria and cerebral ischaemia, in patients with hypertension.

However, the ultimate prognostic endpoint is cardiovascular death, and evidence that ABPM is superior to other measurements in predicting cardiovascular mortality has been lacking.

A number of small studies have suggested that ABPM is a better predictor of mortality than CBPM, and similarly, evidence has been accumulating to suggest that night-time pressure might be superior to daytime pressure in predicting cardiovascular outcome.

The Dublin outcome study cohort

The Blood Pressure Unit (formerly located at the Charitable Infirmary and based latterly Beaumont Hospital in Dublin) has been in operation for 22 years. The demographic details and cardiovascular risk factors of more than 20,000 patients have been entered into a database since 1980, making it the largest single centre data-
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