### **Acknowledgements**

#### **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest.

#### References

- Chen Y, Zhu Y, Chen C, Chen X, Pan H, Chen S, Tan X. Relationship between noninvasive and invasive blood pressure values in end-stage renal disease patients on dialysis. Blood Press Monit 2014: 19:72-75.
- Pickering TG, Hall JE, Appel LJ, Falkner BE, Graves J, Hill MN, et al. Recommendations for blood pressure measurements in humans and experimental animals: part 1: blood pressure management in humans: a statement for professionals from the Subcommittee of Professional and Public Education of the American Heart Association Council on High Blood Pressure Research. Circulation 2005; 111:697-716.

DOI: 10.1097/MBP.0000000000000071

### Blood pressure monitoring through pharmacies and team-based care of hypertension

Valérie Santschia, Grégoire Wurzner and Arnaud Chioleroc, aLa Source School of Nursing Sciences, University of Applied Sciences Western Switzerland, Service of Nephrology and Hypertension and Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, Lausanne University Hospital, Lausanne, Switzerland

Correspondence to Valérie Santschi, PharmD. PhD. La Source School of Nursing Sciences, University of Applied Sciences Western Switzerland, Avenue Vinet, 30, 1004 Lausanne, Switzerland

Tel: +41 21 641 38 24; fax: +41 21 641 38 38; e-mail: valerie.santschi@gmail.com

Received 3 June 2014 Accepted 9 July 2014

In the last issue of Blood Pressure Monitoring, James et al. [1] elegantly reported for the first time the characteristics of patients attending pharmacies for ambulatory blood pressure measurement (ABPM) and showed that they were similar to those undergoing ABPM through primary care practices. The authors concluded that pharmacies could be a valuable resource to perform ABPM.

In the continuity of this study, we would like to emphasize the results of recent studies as well as recommendations of pharmacist involvement in the management of hypertension, more specifically in a team approach [2,3].

Hence, Santschi et al. [3] recently carried out a systematic review of randomized-controlled trials assessing the effect of pharmacist interventions on hypertension. Thirty-nine studies were identified, including 14224 patients. Pharmacist interventions most often included patient education, feedback to physician, and medication management. Pharmacist care was associated with substantial reductions in systolic and diastolic blood pressure (BP) of -7.6 mmHg (95% confidence interval: -9.0 to -6.3) and -3.9 mmHg (95% confidence interval: -5.1 to -2.8), respectively. Of note, in 16 of these studies, the interventions were performed by a team of healthcare professionals in various combinations, that is, pharmacist and physician; pharmacist, nurse and physician; pharmacist, nurse, physician, and dietician; or pharmacist and nurse [3]. Furthermore, since 2013, the US Preventive Services Task Forces recommends a team-based care of hypertension, including a nurse-pharmacist-physician collaborative care

model, to improve BP control [4]. Pharmacist interventions and a team approach for the management of hypertension have also been highlighted in the last edition of the ESH/ESC hypertension guidelines to improve BP control [5].

Other recent studies suggested that BP monitoring could be an integral part of pharmacist interventions for the management of hypertension [6]. It is well known that BP measurement is poorly performed in a physician's office [7]. Increasingly, patients have their BP measured out of the physician office, including in community pharmacies [8]. One study suggests that the white-coat effect in the community pharmacy could be lower compared with the whitecoat effect in the physician's office [9]. As pharmacists are highly accessible healthcare professionals, pharmacies could therefore be an ideal setting to organize hypertension management, particularly in areas where access to primary healthcare physicians is difficult. The study by James et al. [1] in the last issue of *Blood Pressure Monitoring* underlined the pertinence of ABPM offered by pharmacies, a service increasingly available in several European countries [7].

In conclusion, BP monitoring in pharmacies could foster collaboration between the pharmacist and the physician. In particular, ABPM could be a useful adjunct to pharmacist care. Nevertheless, further studies are needed to determine how such a pharmacy-based service in a teambased approach can improve hypertension management.

# Acknowledgements

### **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest.

#### References

- James K, Dolan E, O'Brien E. Making ambulatory blood pressure monitoring accessible in pharmacies. Blood Press Monit 2014; 19:134-139.
- Carter BL, Bosworth HB, Green BB. The hypertension team: the role of the pharmacist, nurse, and teamwork in hypertension therapy. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich) 2012; 14:51-65.
- Santschi V, Chiolero A, Colosimo AL, Platt RW, Taffé P, Burnier M, et al. Improving blood pressure control through pharmacist interventions: a metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. J Am Heart Assoc 2014; 3:e000718.
- Community Preventive Services Task Force. Team-based care to improve blood pressure control. Am J Prev Med 2014; 47:100-102.
- ESH/ESC Task Force for the Management of Arterial Hypertension. 2013 Practice guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension (ESH) and the European Society of Cardiology (ESC): ESH/ESC Task Force for the Management of Arterial Hypertension. J Hypertens 2013; 31:1925-1938.
- Sabater-Hernández D, Sánchez-Villegas P, Lacampa P, Artiles-Campelo A, Jorge-Rodríguez ME, Faus MJ. Evaluation of the hypertensive state in treated patients: selection of appropriate blood pressure measurements per visit to the community pharmacy. Blood Press Monit 2011; 16:103-110.
- O'Brien E, Parati G, Stergiou G, Asmar R, Beilin L, Bilo G, et al. European Society of Hypertension position paper on ambulatory blood pressure monitoring. J Hypertens 2013; 31:1731-1768.
- Viera AJ, Cohen LW, Mitchell CM, Sloane PD. Hypertensive patients' use of blood pressure monitors stationed in pharmacies and other locations: a crosssectional mail survey. BMC Health Serv Res 2008; 8:216.
- Sendra-Lillo J, Sabater-Hernández D, Sendra-Ortolá Á, Martínez-Martínez F. Agreement between community pharmacy, physician's office, and home blood pressure measurement methods: the PALMERA Study. Am J Hypertens 2012; **25**:290-296.

DOI: 10.1097/MBP00000000000000073

### In response: Ambulatory blood pressure measurement in pharmacies

Eoin O'Brien, Department of Molecular Pharmacology, The Conway Institute of Biomolecular and Biomedical Research, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin, Ireland

Correspondence to Eoin O'Brien, MSc, FRCP, MD, Department of Molecular Pharmacology The Conway Institute of Biomolecular and Biomedical Research University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland Tel: +353 1 2803865; fax: +353 1 2803688; e-mail: eobrien@iol.ie

Received 25 June 2014 Revised 25 July 2014 Accepted 9 July 2014

In this issue of Blood Pressure Monitoring, Valérie Santschi and her colleagues in Lausanne review the role of the pharmacist as a member of a team in improving the management of hypertension [1]. Indeed, a growing literature identifying the pharmacist as a well trained, skilled, and competent figure in the healthcare delivery system, who engages moreover with a trusting public in what may be a more meaningful way than many doctors do, makes one ask how we have ignored this valuable resource for so long [2-6].

Santschi et al. [1] have identified some 40 studies involving pharmacists in which patient education, feedback to physicians, and medication management has been of benefit to people with hypertension. However, leaving aside the more traditional advisory role of pharmacists, there is now emerging evidence that pharmacists may have a more direct role in influencing the management of hypertension by providing patients with the facility to measure blood pressure.

At a basic level, pharmacists have provided on-the-spot blood pressure measurement with a variety of devices for many years [7]. However, it is now recognized that such measurements, in common with blood pressure measurement in the offices of general practitioners and in hospital clinics, are not only inaccurate but can be downrightly misleading by virtue of inducing white-coat hypertension and failing to detect genuine hypertension, so-called masked hypertension [8]. Recently, it has been shown that measurement with automated devices that have the ability to repeat and store measurements automatically – so-called automated office blood pressure measurement - can improve accuracy, mainly by reducing the white-coat effect and this form of measurement has also been shown also to be feasible in pharmacies [9,10].

Static measurement of blood pressure, however performed, gives no indication of blood pressure behavior over time. As a consequence, 24-h ambulatory blood pressure measurement (ABPM), which provides a profile of blood pressure behavior during the day and night, is now accepted as the 'gold standard' for both the accurate diagnosis of hypertension and also for assessing the efficacy of management [11]. In fact, there is now worldwide

agreement that ABPM is indicated for the exclusion or confirmation of suspected white-coat hypertension. The guideline published by the National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE) in the UK in 2011 has generated considerable comment for being unique among the many international guidelines in stating unequivocally that ABPM should be offered to anyone suspected of having hypertension by virtue of having had an elevated conventional blood pressure measurement [12]. NICE has calculated that the use of ABPM could save the UK health service substantial amounts of money and it has shown, moreover, that ABPM is more cost-effective than either office or home blood pressure measurement [13]. This recommendation is supported by the recently published position paper on ABPM from the European Society of Hypertension [11].

It is evident, however, that if ABPM is to be made available to everyone suspected of having hypertension, the traditional outlets for providing the technique will prove inadequate and alternative ways for providing patients with ABPM must be explored [8] - and here we are brought back to the pharmacist. My group had used the dabl ABPM system (dabl Ltd., Dublin, Ireland) for many years in hospital and primary care and it seemed reasonable, therefore, to assess a modified version in pharmacies [14]. We were aware of the difficulties that faced us – the pharmacist had to invest in the device and pay for the reports; the pharmacist had to engage with the public in what might be perceived as competition with primary care physicians with whom the preservation of a close relationship is paramount for good business reasons; and the pharmacist had to be provided with a facility in the software for communicating with the patient and for referring the patient back to the general practitioner so that the essential relationship between patient and doctor was not compromised. To achieve this, the dabl ABPM program was modified to allow the pharmacist to keep in close contact with the patient's doctor by being able to advise the patient that if the interpretative report of the ABPM is normal it should be brought to the general practitioner at the next attendance, but if the ABPM is reported as abnormal the patient is instructed to make an appointment as soon as possible [15].

We were pleasantly surprised by the ease with which the ABPM system was accepted in pharmacies and that many primary care physicians, rather than resenting the provision of the technique in pharmacies, welcomed an alternative service and in some cases were pleased that they did not have to provide ABPM. The results of the study in which patients attending primary care and pharmacies for assessment of hypertension in Ireland were compared showed that the ABPM from pharmacists were of an equal or better quality than those in primary care and that the ABPM characteristics of these patients

were very similar to those attending a primary care practice for the investigation [15] Similar results with ABPM in pharmacies have been obtained using telemonitoring in Italy [16].

From the viewpoint of the patient, the advantages of an ABPM service in pharmacies were greater availability of ABPM in a local and convenient pharmacy rather than having to attend a general practitioner or specialist clinic, the convenience of a Saturday service, provision of an interpretative report informing the patient as to the success or failure of treatment in achieving blood pressure control, and when more than one ABPM had been performed the provision of a trend report indicating the comparative status of successive ABPMs [15].

Other benefits, which although not an a-priori aspect of the study, are worthy of mention. First, the provision of an interpretative report of the ABPM to the patient involved the patient in the management process, with the possibility of improving compliance to medication and management. Second, the central retrieval and storage of data in a central database provided demographic information in a patient registry on national blood pressure trends. Studies from well-designed and wellperformed patient registries can provide a real-world view of clinical practice, patient outcomes, safety, and cost effectiveness, and play an important part in improving health outcomes [17]. Through the use of such registries, healthcare providers can compare, identify, and adopt best practices for patients and, most importantly, disease registries can substantially reduce health costs. To take just one example, in Sweden, which leads the drive for patient disease registries and is committed to increasing its annual financial support for disease registries from \$10 to \$45 million by 2013, Swedish surgeons avoided about 7500 hip revisions and saved US\$140 million in costs during 2000-2009. If the USA could reduce its revision burden of hip arthroplasty to 10% by 2015, it would save \$2 billion of a predicted total cost of \$24 billion [18,19].

The scientific move to establish registries of ABPM is now well underway with national registries of varying sophistication being established in Spain, Italy, Belgium, Germany, Ireland, France, Australia, Japan, and the USA. The most successful example has been the Spanish ABPM registry, which has changed the demographics of high blood pressure in that country and altered the international approach to the diagnosis and treatment of hypertension [20].

For all the above reasons, it would seem to me that there should be no argument about involving pharmacists in the management of hypertension and that the case for encouraging pharmacy-based provision of ABPM is wellproven and in need of broader implementation. It is now time to study other aspects of pharmacy-based management of hypertension, such as advice on medication. Pharmacists are particularly well trained on the potential benefits and the adverse effects of blood pressure lowering mediation and they have, moreover, accurate computerized systems for identifying the potential drug interactions that are destined to become more common with the prescribing of multiple drugs in the elderly for hypertension and the associated illnesses of aging.

## **Acknowledgements**

#### Conflicts of interest

Eoin O'Brien is a Board Member and Shareholder of dabl Ltd., Dublin, Ireland.

#### References

- Santschi V, Wuerzner G, Chiolero A. Blood pressure monitoring through pharmacies and team-based care of hypertension. Blood Press Monit 2014: 19:371.
- 2 Lee JK, Grace KA, Taylor AJ. Effect of a pharmacy care program on medication adherence and persistence, blood pressure, and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2006;
- Weber CA, Ernst ME, Sezate GS, Zheng S, Carter BL. Pharmacist-physician comanagement of hypertension and reduction in 24-hour ambulatory blood pressures. Arch Intern Med 2010; 170:1634-1639.
- Sendra-Lillo J. Sabater-Hernandez D. Sendra-Ortola A. Martinez-Martinez F. Agreement between community pharmacy, physician's office, and home blood pressure measurement methods: the Palmera study. Am J Hypertens 2012: 25:290-296
- Carter BL, Foppe van Mil JW. Comparative effectiveness research: evaluating pharmacist interventions and strategies to improve medication adherence. Am J Hypertens 2010; 23:949-955.
- Heisler M, Hofer TP, Schmittdiel JA, Selby JV, Klamerus ML, Bosworth HB, et al. Improving blood pressure control through a clinical pharmacist outreach program in diabetes patients in two-high performing health systems: The Adherence and Intensification of Medications (AIM) Cluster Randomized Controlled Pragmatic Trial. Circulation 2012; 125:2863-2872.
- Viera AJ, Cohen LW, Mitchell CM, Sloane PD. Hypertensive patients' use of blood pressure monitors stationed in pharmacies and other locations: a cross-sectional mail survey. BMC Health Serv Res 2008: 8:216.
- 8 O'Brien E. First Thomas Pickering memorial lecture\*: ambulatory blood pressure measurement is essential for the management of hypertension. I Clin Hypertens (Greenwich) 2012: 14:836-847.
- Myers MG, Godwin M, Dawes M, Kiss A, Tobe SW, Kaczorowski J. Measurement of blood pressure in the office: recognizing the problem and proposing the solution. Hypertension 2010; 55:195-200.
- 10 Chambers LW, Kaczorowski J, O'Rielly S, Ignagni S, Hearps SJC. Comparison of blood pressure measurements using an automated blood pressure device in community pharmacies and family physicians' offices: a randomized controlled trial. CMAJ Open 2013; 1:e37-e42.
- O'Brien E, Parati G, Stergiou G, Asmar R, Beilin L, Bilo G, et al. European Society of Hypertension position paper on ambulatory blood pressure monitoring. J Hypertens 2013; 31:1731-1768.
- National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Hypertension. The clinical management of primary hypertension in adults. Clinical Guideline 127. Manchester: NICE; 2011.
- Lovibond K, Jowett S, Barton P, Caulfield M, Heneghan C, Hobbs FD, et al. Cost-effectiveness of options for the diagnosis of high blood pressure in primary care: a modelling study. Lancet 2011; 378:1219-1230.
- Dolan E, Stanton A, Thijs L, Hinedi K, Atkins N, McClory S, et al. Superiority of ambulatory over clinic blood pressure measurement in predicting mortality: the Dublin outcome study. Hypertension 2005; 46:156-161.
- James K, Dolan E, O'Brien E. Making ambulatory blood pressure monitoring accessible in pharmacies. Blood Press Monit 2014; 19:134-139.
- Omboni S, Caserini M. Telemonitoring of 24-h blood pressure in local pharmacies and blood pressure control in the community; results from the TEMPLAR project. J Hypertens 2014; 32 (Suppl 1):e13.

- 17 Gliklich RE, Dreyer NA. Registries for evaluating patient outcomes: a user's guide. 2nd ed. (Prepared by Outcome DEcIDE Center [Outcome Sciences, Inc. d/b/a Outcome] under Contract No. HHSA290200500351 TO3.) AHRQ Publication No.10-EHC049. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2010.
- 18 Anonymous. Editorial. Lancet 2011; 378:50.
- 19 Larsson S, Lawyer P, Garellick G, Lindahl B, Lundström M. Use of 13 disease registries in 5 countries demonstrates the potential to use outcome data to improve health care's value. Health Aff (Millwood)
- 2012; 31:220–227, Available at: http://content.healthaffairs.org/content/early/2011/12/06/hlthaff.2011.0762. [Accessed 25 July 2012]
- 20 Banegas JR, Segura J, Sobrino J, Rodríguez-Artalejo F, de la Sierra A, de la Cruz JJ, et al. Spanish Society of Hypertension Ambulatory Blood Pressure Monitoring Registry Investigators, for the Spanish Society of Hypertension Ambulatory Blood Pressure Monitoring Registry Investigators. Effectiveness of blood pressure control outside the medical setting. Hypertension 2007; 49:62–68.